NOTICE OF MEETING

LOST CREEK LIMITED DISTRICT
September 16, 2020 – 7:00 p.m.
AMENDED AGENDA

TO: THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF LOST CREEK LIMITED DISTRICT OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND ALL OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Directors of Lost Creek Limited District of Travis County, Texas, will hold a special meeting on Wednesday, September 16, 2020 at 7:00 p.m.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GOVERNOR'S EMERGENCY PROCLAMATION SUSPENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE TEXAS OPEN MEETINGS ACT EFFECTIVE MARCH 16, 2020 IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, THE MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED REMOTELY BY TELEPHONE AND VIDEOCONFERENCE. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY JOIN THE MEETING TELEPHONICALLY OR BY VIDEOCONFERENCE USING THE INSTRUCTIONS BELOW.

Videoconference Instructions:

Members of the public may join the meeting through the following Web link: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83876158874

Telephonic Instructions:

Members of the public may join the meeting telephonically by dialing the following telephone number: 346 248 7799

When your call is answered and when prompted, enter in the following Meeting ID below followed by the pound sign (#): 838 7615 8874 Please press # if asked for a participant ID.

Public Comment:

Public comment will be allowed during the Citizen Communication agenda item only. Members of the public that desire to provide public comment may do so by videoconference or by telephone.

To provide public comment remotely (by videoconference or telephone), members of the public must register in advance by emailing Jim Emmons at gm@lostcreekld.org prior to 6:15 p.m. on the date of the meeting. All members of the public that timely register will be muted until their time to speak.
The Board will consider and act on the following matters:

1. Board Convenes - Roll Call

2. Citizen Communications

Public comment will be accepted only during this portion of the meeting or as otherwise allowed by the presiding officer. Citizens wishing to address the Board should do so during Citizens Communication. Individual speakers will be limited to three minutes of comment. Time is not transferable between speakers and may not be aggregated.

3. Announcements (Emmons)

4. Discussion and possible action concerning policies and operations related to public access and use of District greenbelt lands at Barton Creek (low water crossing)

   a. Receive status report regarding implementation of user access fee;

   b. Modification of User Access Fee Policies; and

   c. Other action related thereto.

5. Discussion and possible action regarding proposals for mulch replenishment at Boulevard Park.

6. Discussion and possible action relating to potential development of the Marshall Tract:

   a. Discussion and possible action relating to location of elevated water storage tank facility;

   b. Discussion and possible action relating to District communications to the City of Austin relating to the proposed development;

   c. Discussion and possible action relating to communications to the developer of the project;

   d. Appointment of District representative(s) to attend meetings with City of Austin and Developer relating to the project; and

   e. Authorize such other action as may be necessary to protect the District's interests potentially impacted by the project.

7. Scheduling of future board meetings

8. Summary of Action Items

9. Adjourn
The Board of Directors may go into Executive Session, if necessary, pursuant to the applicable section of Subchapter D, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code, of the Texas Open Meetings Act, on any of the above matters, including without limitation, pursuant to Section 551.071 of the Texas Government Code in order to consult within its attorney (i) in order to seek the advice of the attorney regarding pending or contemplated litigation, or a settlement offer; or (ii) on a matter in which the duty of the attorney to the Board of Directors under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Open Meetings Act.

No final action, decision, or vote will be taken on any subject or matter in Executive Session.

The District is committed to compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Reasonable modifications and equal access to communications will be provided upon request. Please call 512-327-6243 for further information.

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of this Notice of Meeting was posted or furnished as follows not less than 72 hours prior to the above-referenced meeting: (i) in the District at a place convenient and readily accessible to the general public at all times, at the District’s administrative office located at 1305 Quaker Ridge Road; and (ii) on the District’s internet website.

Jim Emmons
Lost Creek
Barton Creek Greenbelt

User Fee Pilot Program Review

Lost Creek Limited District
September 16, 2020
Problem:  Reported Health & Safety Issues

● Traffic
  ○ Parking on side streets blocking ingress/egress from driveways and streets
  ○ Lost Creek Blvd. - Visibility and safety concerns (911 vehicle access)
  ○ DWI concerns

● Trash
  ○ Overflowing bins/stacked at bins
  ○ Trash left in greenbelt - dirty diapers, towels, cans/bottles, plastic bags, etc.

● Dogs
  ○ Off-leash / attacks
  ○ Waste

● Visitor Behavior
  ○ Grilling / open flames
  ○ General drunkenness - vomiting, urinating/defecating in public, fighting
  ○ Nudity
  ○ Drug use
  ○ Noise issues - music, people
Solution: User Fee Pilot Program

- The LCLD is instituting fee collections for non-residents to help defray costs to taxpayers associated with security and maintenance related to increased use of Lost Creek Greenbelt
- Institute greenbelt fees during peak times
  - Charge/Fee: $10/adult, kids 12-under free, $5/dog
  - ID: Residents will use driver’s license to gain free admittance; address list for kids
  - Re-evaluate policy, cost, etc. in 60 days
  - Proposed start/end date: May 29 - August 2, 2020
  - Institute off-duty APD schedule to at least cover charged hours
- Peak hour closures Memorial Day weekend (Fri 4-8, S/S/M 12-8) to provide notice of new policy and manage security
Reminder: Special considerations

- **Families**
  - Children under 12 = free

- **Economically disadvantaged**
  - Majority of time that park is opened is free. Only approximately 20 hours per week is fee-based.
  - Recognition that City of Austin greenbelt entrances are always free and available.

- **Educational groups**
  - LD Board amended policy to allow GM discretion to admit educational groups free of charge.
  - These groups were most likely not affected by fee collection schedule.
**Revenue / Expense Break-down**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, less fees</td>
<td>$32,334.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>-$22,630.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticket taker</td>
<td>-$6,778.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-time expenses</td>
<td>-$1,720.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,205.36</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Greenbelt User Fees vs Security/Attendant Costs

- **Fees**: $6.5k, $2.1k, $5.7k, $3.3k, $2.3k, $2.5k, $1.5k, $2.3k, $2.5k, $1.6k, $1.9k, $1.7k, $2.5k, $2.5k, $2.7k, $2.1k, $1.5k
- **Security/Attendant Costs**: $4.7k, $2.2k, $2.5k, $2.3k, $2.5k, $1.9k, $1.7k, $2.5k, $2.5k, $2.7k, $2.1k, $1.5k

Net Cumulative:
- 29-May: $2.6k
- 5-Jun: $4.4k
- 12-Jun: $4.1k
- 19-Jun: $1.0k
- 26-Jun: $0.2k
- 3-Jul: $0.2k
- 10-Jul: $0.3k
- 17-Jul: $0.9k
- 24-Jul: $1.1k
- 31-Jul: $1.0k
- 7-Aug: $0.2k
- 14-Aug: $0.2k
- 21-Aug: $0.3k
- 28-Aug: $0.9k
- 4-Sep: $0.3k
- 11-Sep: $0.3k
Decision Points:

- Continue program?
- Duration - seasonal or year-round?
- Establish cost center to track income/expenses for review next year?
  - Intent not to make money
- Special considerations:
  - Posting times of collection or continue as-is
  - Groups policy
  - ID cards
  - Infrastructure
  - Work on park rules enforcement policy with security
Cost Center

- Security
- Collection agent / Ticket taker
- Cell phone
- Bart’s time
- Signage
- Trash
- Improvements
  - Traffic counter
  - Entry point aesthetics
  - Bottle filler
I wanted to let you know how much we all appreciate the initiative the LD took this year with the pilot program for securing our park and charging non residents an entry fee.

A few key highlights as follows:

1. **Community benefit:** I noticed the benefit this year to our whole community and LC residents. It became a family destination rather than a drinking destination.
2. **Fees/Timing for Charging:** The fees and timing for charging for entry all seemed reasonable and appropriate.
3. **Safety/Environmental:** The traffic situation, trash/littering and drinking was significantly less this year. We were not overrun by the knock on effect of the Hill of Life Being shut down and now regulated.

On behalf of the LC community, I support and request that this pilot program become a permanence for our park at Lost Creek.

______________________________

**Dear Board Members,**

We are writing in *strong support* of the recently implemented pilot program at the greenbelt requiring a non-resident user fee and law enforcement. We are so happy that we are able to implement a program like this to keep our neighborhood a little more safe and it is a good use of funds.

We live on Cape Coral, so while we are not directly affected as much as those neighbors closer to the greenbelt, we are members of Lost Creek Country Club and spend a good amount of our weekends there. It has made such a difference in how safe we feel especially since we will sometimes walk to the club and back. If the greenbelt visitors would be more respectful of the creek and other people there would not be a problem, but unfortunately not all of them are. We feel like this program discourages this behavior and strongly support keeping it.

______________________________

I live on Turtle Point Dr. and wanted to write in to support the pilot program. Our family regularly uses the greenbelt and without the user fees in place, it detours families esp. the LC community from using it. There is a lot of trash, drinking, & drugs that take place. It's no longer a family-friendly environment. In addition, it creates safety concerns for all the random visitors on Bend of the River as well as Turtle Point. The kids play outside regularly and there are cars speeding down and turning around on our street rather recklessly. I am concerned deeply about all the children’s safety while playing outside. Hoping this pilot program continues and ask for its permanence.

______________________________

I wanted to let you know how much we all appreciate the initiative the LD took this year with the pilot program for securing our park and charging non residents an entry fee.
A few key highlights as follows:

1. Community benefit: I noticed the benefit this year to our whole community and LC residents. We saw multiple families from all over LC enjoy creek access that have not been around in years due to the excess traffic and crowd in years past. Young, old, kids, families, couples, empty nesters, etc. What a benefit and blessing to our fellow LC tax payers.

2. Palatable fees / Non Discriminatory: The fee appeared to be palatable for all as I noticed that even low income families, minorities, dog owners, all race and colors still came and accessed the creek during the week and on weekends.

3. Safety/Environmental: The traffic situation, trash/littering, debauchery, and indecent exposure was significantly less this year than the previous 7 years I have lived on the creek. The amount of people and traffic was appropriate for the size and offering of our park while preventing the negative ambiance and safety concerns for residents in regards to traffic, trash, debauchery and nudity.

On behalf of the LC community, I support and request that this pilot program become a permanence for our park at Lost Creek.

I am emailing to communicate my full support for the admission fee to the Lost Creek park on Lost Creek Blvd adjacent to Bend of the River to be implemented full time. I have noticed significantly less trash on the trail, less erosion to the stream embankment, less public urinating and less drug use at the park as a result of the program. Please take my vote into consideration as the program is considered for the future.

I am a resident of Lost Creek and live on Bend of the River. I’d like to provide comment on what I have observed since the fee structure has been implemented. I’ve noticed the number of outsiders partying along the creek has drastically decreased. It appears that the younger crowds, the ones doing most of the partying, are not willing to pay the fee. The amount of trash that was typically being stacked up and overflowing out of the trash cans seems to be greatly reduced. I have noticed that more lost creek families are enjoying the creek which is promising. When talking to them they were reluctant to come down to the creek because of the scene that has was there in the early spring.

That said, I am in favor of keeping the fee structure in place for non residents. I think the schedule of the fee has been appropriate.

Board Members -- We live on Bend of the River Drive and our house overlooks the "Big Rock" in Barton Creek -- so we see everything that goes on. Based on our observations, the pilot program to charge people using the Greenbelt has been a huge success. The people using the Greenbelt have changed from mostly rowdy teens to mostly families with children. In our view, the residents of Lost Creek have reclaimed their Greenbelt. This change would not have
occurred without an ongoing police presence, which has made Greenbelt users and Lost Creek residents feel a lot safer. It seems only fair that users of the Greenbelt should pay to help maintain and police it. We recommend repeating this program in 2021.

...my feedback/opinion is that continued fees at the creek until cooler weather and again early spring is vital to our community and to the health of the creek. The presence of officer is really important as well, especially because we still have to frequently deal with belligerent people trying to skirt the entrance. I agree that having open access to the creek as you have been doing has been reasonable and helpful, and I personally have seen no abuses under that system.

Again, thanking you and the Board for your hard work and efforts that have given us our neighborhood back!

The Greenbelt Access program has produced a marked reduction in non-resident use of the greenbelt with coincident reduction in the safety hazards caused by cars parked along Lost Creek Blvd. I believe the program should be continued to require non-residents who do not contribute to the maintenance of the Greenbelt to pay their fair share.

Thanks for instituting the program.

From my perspective I think the pilot program was great. It helped cut back on traffic, trash and helped make me feel better about the greenbelt being a safer place to send my kids to. My hope would be that it can continue as a permanent program.

While I look forward to hearing a report on the success to date of the pilot program designed to limit congestion and unwelcome visitors to our green belt area, I would like to express our support for this initiative. Although we do not live adjacent to the green belt, we have made multiple trips to that area on various weekends to check out any changes and have appreciated the reduction in vehicles lining Lost Creek Boulevard - a most welcome improvement for our neighborhood as well as for the ecology of Barton Creek.

In our opinion that this program should be continued.

I would also like to thank those who created and implemented what appears to be a viable solution to a very thorny problem.

...want to share my support for the pilot program. I had multiple encounters at the creek earlier this year that included confrontations with people that were doing drugs, not picking up after their pets and nudity. It got to the point where I didn’t feel comfortable sending my kids to the Creek or going as a family.

Since implementing the pilot program, I noticed a major improvement in the crowd and cleanliness of the creek.
I fully support continuing such program and appreciate the work that has gone into establishing it.

I strongly represent a positive vote for controlling access to the Barton Creek greenbelt area, via fees or however it can be done. I don't mind my neighbors using the park but unfortunately they are a minority and it is primarily on the weekends during the summer and early spring and fall that all the disturbance seems to happen. It is not my neighbors who are rowdy and drunk. It is the people from other parts of the City and even out of state that cause the problem. Parking along Lost Creek Blvd is a major problem, as are the impaired drivers.

I applaud the program. Keep it going.

Please continue to charge user fees for access to the low water crossing on Lost Creek Blvd. I’m also a proponent of limiting access to only Lost Creek residents and accompanied guests if the user fee program isn’t sustainable for whatever reason. I am against this becoming a public area that residents have to put up with because people can’t have manners or the number of people visiting the area can’t be limited.

We are favor of continuing to charge greenbelt access fees to non-residents. Keep up the great work!

I’m a lost creek resident (1711 Ben Crenshaw) writing to register my support for continuing the use fees on the greenbelt. I like how the current program has kept people from outside the neighborhood from treating our section of creek as “Neo-sixth street”.

I am in full support of the greenbelt pilot program!!

**PLEASE KEEP THIS PROGRAM IN PLACE!**

Since the fees were initiated, I have noticed the traffic has gone down, the parked cars up and down LC BLVD is non-existent and the greenbelt is CLEAN!!! I went there last Sunday and was amazed at how quiet and clean it was.

Please do not alter any plans. Keep the fees for non-residents! Its working!!

I am still in support of user fees for the LC greenbelt! We live at 1609 Bay Hill Drive and can easily access the greenbelt. We have noticed since this has been in place that it is less crowded, cleaner and more pleasant to go there. Thanks for keeping it going! It is also nice to not have ALL the cars parked along LC Blvd on the weekends!

We fully support the non-resident user fee program in the green belt. Please keep it going!
I live at 6501 Huckleberry cove, Austin Texas 78746 and think the program has helped significantly.

I just wanted to give quick feedback that we have really appreciated the user fees implemented at the greenbelt entrance in Lost Creek. It has made a significant difference in traffic down there, and we are frequent greenbelt hikers, so have noticed the improvement. Please keep it up!

We own a home at 1904 Ringtail Ridge and are huge supporters of the Lost Creek greenbelt program. I think the fee requirement for outsiders is required as a hurdle to use the greenbelt and to pay for trash pickup and security.

Please continue with the program and to be honest I am supportive of non-residents paying a higher rate (i.e. $25-50/use). When you divide out the annual cost of living in Lost Creek District by the # of uses the $25-50/visit doesn’t seem all too high.

1712 ben Crenshaw completely supports the pilot program of charging visitors at the creek. We had completely quit visiting the creek after several experiences with drunk and high visitors. Now that they are charging visitors, we have been fishing, swimming and exploring our neighborhood creek. Please continue charging visitors so families in the neighborhood can continue using the creek!

; I am emailing because I really like the greenbelt usage fee; I feel that it helps control traffic/crowds/trash. If anything, I think the fee should be more :) While the greenbelt is public, this is our neighborhood, where we ride bikes, play and also enjoy the greenbelt. My understanding is that the fee controls crowds and helps pay to pick up the trash. We were new last summer and barely enjoyed the greenbelt because it was so crowded; this summer, we were able to enjoy it more. Please keep up with the usage fee.

We are In support of the Greenbelt fees being charged in lost creek.

I am writing in support of our Greenbelt user fees. I think it helps keep our swimming hole a family friendly area for all of our neighborhood to enjoy. We live at 2007 Port Royal Dr. and would love to see this as a permanent fee for non-residents. Thanks!!!

We live at 2108 key west cove and regularly use and enjoy the Greenbelt and creek! Showing our support! Thank you so much!

As a long time Lost Creek resident with a family and young children who regularly utilize the access point, I have seen firsthand the improvements in behavior, cleanliness, safety and overall manner of the area’s activity the program has delivered.
I have also received many anecdotal comments from other friends and residents who share similar sentiments, including:

- Overall reduction in general traffic and parking activities, which was previously creating a safety hazard for both vehicular and non-vehicular traffic in the area
- Significant improvement and reduction in unsavory and inappropriate behaviors
- Enhancement to the visual aesthetic with decline in trash and unretrieved animal waste in the area
- Appears non-Lost Creek families from a broad cross section of the Austin area and backgrounds are able to continue to use the park without the fee impairing their ability to enjoy. If anything the fee may attract a more well-behaved group of citizens who desire to enjoy in an appropriate manner.

We hope you and your peers will continue the implementation of making this program a permanent reality.

Thank you for allowing the implementing the Greenbelt pilot program.

I hope you experienced the desired results you expected. From our perspective, as a local neighbor of the Greenbelt and Park, the pilot initiative was a success as it relates too:

- safety
- accessibility
- cleanliness and beautification

I hope other local “Austinites” felt it was a fair fee and it did not encumber too many conscientious citizens, families, and pet owners from visiting us.

We welcome this program as a consistent plan/program and any continued improvements you or the LD board can implement to make the Greenbelt more enjoyable!

We love the fee system. It’s made the greenbelt so much safer for my boys. Thank you.

We are in support of the non resident fees for the green belt.

The decision to charge entry fees for the greenbelt to non LC residents was a tremendous idea and should continue. As a parent with kids riding bikes on LC Blvd I’m less worried about drunk or high greenbelt visitors on the roads. Traffic overall is better (safer) and the reduction of trash at the bottom of LC Blvd is evident.

Keep the Fees!
Hi. I live at 1505 Bay Hill Dr. and I support the continued assessment of the greenbelt access fees. Thank you!

Hi. I live at 1505 Bay Hill Dr. and I support the continued assessment of the greenbelt access fees. Thank you!

I’m am writing because I’m a Lost Creek resident (address listed below) and I am in support of the newly implemented LC Greenbelt non-resident user fee. The implementation of this non-resident fee has greatly reduced the number of people outside of Lost Creek that visit the Greenbelt via the LC bridge by the country club.

Not only has the traffic volume decreased, but so has the trash and the disrespectful visitors. The people that are willing to pay the user fee for the most part are respectful and are there to peacefully enjoy this Austin treasure.

I hope that the LC LD votes to continue the user fee so that we can preserve this wonderful part of Lost Creek.

This program has resulted in less litter and traffic and improved the quality for lost creek residents

my address 5707 Sedgefield Drive

I’m writing to share my support of the pilot program which charged non residents and posted law enforcement at the entrance to the greenbelt this summer. I live on Bend of the River and was reluctant to take my daughter there on the weekends or even some weekdays during March and April because of the number of people not obeying trail rules (dogs off leash, open containers). My husband and I would spend quite a bit of time picking up trash on each visit as well.

Since the fee was implemented, we have noticed a tremendous improvement in the safety of the roads, especially down in our area, as well as the safety of families trying to enjoy the beautiful creek that our LD does such a great job maintaining. For these reasons, we would like to see the program continue.

As a Lost Creek Resident, I fully support the non-resident user fee access and have seen the positive results it has had for traffic, crowds, etc in our neighborhood.

I support keeping this in place.
Please continue.

We live at 6001 Cape Coral Dr. I understand that the District is reevaluating Non-Resident Usage Fees for Barton Creek access. We feel that the program has been a game changer for traffic, litter and overall condition of the creek and trails. Our family visits the creek often and I feel like the safety and “family friendly-ness” has greatly improved since the program was implemented. My older kids, age 14 and 13, have been able to visit the creek with their friends and I no longer fear what unsavory sights and smells they will encounter. Our family is in full support of continuing the program.

I live on 6616 Whitemarsh Valley Walk here in Lost Creek. I cannot emphasize enough how important it is to continue to enforce a fee based approach towards entering Barton Creek. I drive that road all the time and I’ve noticed how safer the roads are with the decrease in traffic. I’m fully supportive of this measure based on increase SAFETY due to this fee based approach.

I’ve just learned that the Lost Creek Greenbelt Non-Resident User Fee Program is at risk of ending. As such, I’d like to express my family’s support and appreciation of the program and hope we can keep this in place permanently.

The program has not only been beneficial for me and my family personally, but I think the entire neighborhood has benefited as well. It’s made LC a safer, cleaner and more fun place to live. It’s also a huge differentiator when comparing other nearby neighborhoods (with or without belt access) which I can only imagine has give our property values a lift as well.

Good Morning, my family would like to see the Barton Creek fee-for-access program continue to help our neighborhood.

I am writing to voice my support for the user access fee and patrol programs for lost creek green belt areas.

My son and I encountered one of the security employees at the Barton creek entrance under the bridge by the country club and they were very professional and polite.

I am in support of the new initiatives to keep our creek safe by implementing a user fee. I live on Turtle Point Drive, and I have noticed more safety on the Boulevard with parking, less litter, the trail and creek seem better preserved, less drug use, etc.

I live at 6301 Five Acre Wood St. My house borders the park, and is within eye sight of the entrance. I wish to write to express my sincerest gratitude to Lost Creek for implementing the pilot fee program for the park, and to express my support for its future re-implementation, or to be made permanent.

In April and May, attendance and behavior was out of control: Many cars were parked illegally and unsafely; Trash was littered all over my yard and in the street; Trash was piled up in the park; Alcohol
and drugs were being consumed illegally; Dog droppings were everywhere; Myself and minor children witnessed park-goers indecently exposing themselves; They also urinated within eyesight of my property; When I respectfully confronted park goers to either instruct them to park differently or advise them that police are patrolling and they would surely get either a ticket or towed, they were belligerent; there was a lot of illegal trespassing on my property and every other property bordering the park; and worst of all, and least publicized was the fact that there was extremely poor or NO respect for social-distancing protocols; and to top it all off, most of the park-goers weren’t staying in the Lost Creek Park, they were merely using it as an easy entryway to the Hill of Life area further south. So the Lost Creek Park was merely a cut-through.

This park and the surrounding area was not designed with this volume of visitors and the type of behavior they exhibited. And had this program not been implemented, most definitely this behavior would have increased significantly post-Memorial Day. The Lost Creek board reacted swiftly and appropriately, and now we have our park, and most of all, our serenity back!

So I would like to strongly express my support for the continuance of this program of some form, especially in warmer months.

I am a single mom with 4 kids living on Lost Creek Blvd. I have absolutely loved living in this neighborhood. However in the spring, the crowd in front of my home and in my yard became out of control. There was trash left in my yard, alcohol consumed and a general feeling for me and the children of lack of safety. When my kids went to the creek it was so packed, dogs off leash, trash everywhere and crowded with groups of young adults drinking and doing drugs.

After the pilot program was implemented the atmosphere drastically changed. There was less need for folks to park in front of my house and all that went with that, a much more respectful environment, probably b/c of the security presence and also decreased numbers of people. My kids felt a lot more at ease and the people who did come to enjoy the creek seemed more at ease as well. I love the families of all races and socioeconomic levels coming to the greenbelt and relaxing together as well as the groups of young adults behaving in a respectful way around my children. The pilot program made a HUGE difference for my family and the general friendly environment of one of the greatest resources Lost Creek has.

As a Lost Creek resident, I am writing to ask that the limited district continue the non-resident user fees program for Barton Creek access, as it has significantly helped reduce traffic, usage, and litter in the greenbelt and around the neighborhood.

Just wanted to register my support for the access control (non-resident user fee) and law enforcement patrol pilot program at the Lost Creek Greenbelt. It has been very helpful. Let’s keep it in place.

I wanted to express my support for the program implemented this year at the greenbelt. It helped reduce car traffic, foot traffic, noise and litter in our greenbelt. Please continue it forward!
I live at 6501 Huckleberry Cove. I support the continuation of the Non-Resident User Fees Program. Please support its continuation.

I 100% support this program. There are many other places in Austin where people can enjoy a creek and a walk that does Not directly affect homeowners.

The parking and foot traffic on lost creek Blvd Near the creek had become so dangerous.

...at 1806 Trevino Drive. My family is in support of the non-resident user fee for the greenbelt. It has been a game changer for traffic, usage, litter, etc. for the Creek

I’ve been informed there is a special meeting in regards to the user fees for Barton Creek access.

I am in full support of this program and hope it continues. There has been significant improvement to the neighborhood’s quality of life as it pertains to traffic, litter, and behavior exhibited.

We are a continuously growing family neighborhood with children running around. Protecting our streets from those that may take the access for granted and drive drunk out of the neighborhood, is critical.

It is important for us to continue the program to continue to see the positive impacts it has, not only with the immediate surrounding area, but also the impacts to the neighborhood as a whole.

You asked in a recent email for input and feedback for this program. Without data to show the results, feedback and opinions are merely that—opinions. When you are spending Lost Creek resident money for a program, the residents deserve to know the results of the program.

I would like to ask that you present facts and data regarding this program. I would like to see such information as how much the program cost and how much revenue was generated. I realize this wasn’t intended as a profit generating venture, but I would like to know how much the program cost the residents of Lost Creek. I would also like quantification as to the benefits, if any, realized. I would like quantifiable results of the amount of trash picked up, any improvement to the water quality, etc.
I look forward to the presentation of quantifiable evidence for the success or failure of this program.

I will give you this input prior to the meeting: it was very frustrating that there was not much actual information ever provided about this program. The signage did not give hours or days when access was limited. This may have been intentional on the part of the administration, but if so, I would like to understand the reasoning.

Other than an obvious reduction in cars parked near the entrance, I do not know of any other benefit to this program. Fewer cars seems to benefit only the people who live near the entrance. While I understand the residents that live there would very much appreciate fewer cars, it was frustrating to those of us who run or walk several miles to get to the entrance to not know the status of the entrance.

I propose this: if this program continues, and it is of significant cost but the majority of the benefit is enjoyed by the residents who live near the access, essentially giving them a private greenbelt, then the residents who live near the access should bear the majority of the cost of the program. However, please note I would like to see actual data regarding this.

I do have a few opinions about this. I’ll start by saying, as a resident that doesn’t live in the affected vicinity (Bend o the River), this doesn’t affect me either way to a great degree. That said I do mountain bike in the greenbelt several times per week and often use the Lost Creek entrance, so I do see the affects both ways – first with no control, and second with deputies stationed at the entrance. Thoughts:

1. I suspect that the vast majority of folks in Lost Creek never go to the Barton Creek entrance and don’t live on the affected street and therefore, may have an opinion, but I honestly don’t know how informed it would or could be.
2. Not entirely sure how much difference this makes on actual traffic in the neighborhood. I suppose some folks who would go down there might see the sign at the neighborhood entrance (or may have heard about the charge) and may just turn around. Otherwise, without having actual numbers, I suspect that traffic is not changed much – and even when the GB entrance is uncontrolled, I still suspect the vast majority of traffic in our neighborhood is from people who live in the neighborhood or are driving through to Barton Creek. I do believe there are quite a few non-resident “leisure” drivers that take Lost Creek Blvd through Barton Creek because it’s a scenic drive.
3. Personally, I do have some issue with charging for entry to what I think would be considered a public park. I’m sure this is not unheard of, but CoA doesn’t charge for entry to any other public parks that I know of – parks that have vastly more traffic, trash, and all sorts of issues – Zilker, Town Lake trail, shoal creek, pease, the new, very expensive boardwalk, etc. This list literally goes on and on and on. Therefore, as someone who doesn’t use those parks much and does use the greenbelt extensively, it certainly feels burdensome and exclusionary for BCGB to singled out like this – not just LCCC entrance but all of the BCGB entries. To me, this is probably more of an ethical concern as opposed to a practical one.
4. I do believe strongly that having uniformed personnel stationed at the entry does a lot of positive:
   a. Inhibits drug and alcohol possession and consumption.
b. Limits loitering and littering.

c. Helps to decrease the overall number of folks (especially those with nefarious intentions) congregating at the water hole.

5. Assuming separate from this effort – Austin Parks is charging for entry at greenbelt entrances – most notably Hill of Life. I think this has an effect. If the charge for entry is what’s limiting the numbers of people, but the charge is similar at both locations (HOL and LCCC), then I think a lot of folks will still come through LCCC, because it’s an easier walk/route to get to the swimming holes. That said, the fact that LCCC has uniformed personnel and HOL does not (mostly high school or college kids), those with drugs or alcohol do have some motivation to choose HOL instead.

6. I would be curious to have information on the cost of this effort (paying posted personnel, etc.) vs the amount it takes in. does it pay for itself or is this effectively an added expense for Lost Creek?

At the end of the day, (regardless of the charge) I do think **having uniformed personnel at the entrance and/or the water hole is a huge benefit**. If the charge for entry is helping to pay for that cost, then, I’m for it. I am though a little nervous about the possibility of government taking away what I think should be a public amenity and one that I value a great deal. Recent greenbelt closures, tightening parking restrictions, etc. make me nervous about what may be the next step and beyond. There are precious few greenbelt entry points for those of us who use and enjoy it responsibly. There are rules on the greenbelt (drugs, alcohol, glass, noise, leash, etc.) that are almost never enforced, and honestly, just starting with periodic enforcement efforts might be a good step to help limit some of the negative consequences of having this amenity available to the public.
# QUOTE

**QUOTE #:** 08062020-JB-103  
**DATE:** August 6, 2020

**TO:** Lost Creek Limited District  
**Attn:** Holly Pope  
**Address:** 1305 Quaker Ridge Dr. Austin, TX 78746  
**Install Site:** Same  
**Phone:** 512-327-6243  
**Email:** office@lostcreekid.com

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTY</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT</th>
<th>UNIT COST</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>EWF Mulch Install (165 CY)</td>
<td>$ 50.50</td>
<td>$ 8,332.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Freight</td>
<td>$ 1,589.50</td>
<td>$ 1,589.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sales Tax</th>
<th>EXEMPT</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 9,922.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**QUOTE IS VALID FOR 45 DAYS FROM DATE OF QUOTE OR FROM DATE OF REVISION**

**PRICING IS BASED ON FULL ACCESS TO INSTALL AREA BY TRUCK / BOBCAT.**

**NOT INCLUDED:** Permits, Bonds/Fees (if required), Site Work in Excess of Normal Installation (example: site prep - excavation/infill, concrete slab, french drains, excess rock removal, landscaping).

Any installation charges quoted are based upon a solid work site (not rocky) that is freely accessible by truck, no fencing, tree/landscaping or utility obstacles, etc.), and level (+/-1-2% max slope). Any site work not expressly described is excluded. All underground utilities must be located and clearly marked before any work can begin. Installation of all products (equipment, borders, ground cover, amenities) are as quoted and approved by acceptance of quotes/drawings. The installer is not responsible for any damages or rework resulting from after-hours events or activities during the work in progress period. The customer is responsible for maintaining the integrity of completed installation work until components have seated and/or cured (concrete footings, etc.).

**Payment Terms:** 50% due ($4,961.00) with signed quote; balance due upon completion of work and receipt of invoice.  
**Estimated Delivery & Installation:** 1-4 weeks after receipt of signed quote, deposit and color selections.

---

Accepted by:  
Date:  
P.O. # (if applicable):

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to quote this equipment.  
Shawn Cooper
Name / Address
Lost Creek Municipal Utility District
1305 Quaker Ridge Dr.
Austin, TX 78746

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U/M</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lost Creek Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>59.15</td>
<td>9,759.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Installation of 165 CY of IFEMA Certified Playground Mulch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** The three north parking spaces on Quaker Ridge will need to be blocked off for material delivery ***

Total $9,759.75

Customer Signature ________________________________
Dear Tanya:

We visited your playground site today, to access the safety surfacing work you requested. The logistics presented by your site cause us to decline the opportunity to bid on this work. Thank you for calling, but we will not be able to help you for this particular need.

Sincerely,
Bill Wolfe
Playground and Shade Structures, Inc.
Kidstruction® Commercial Playgrounds
Commercial Sun Shades and Site Amenities
505 Tradesmens Park Dr.
Hutto, TX 78634
512-642-6124 (FAX 512-642-4275)
1-800-245-8449
August FY20 Update

Current
- $358,216 Expenses YTD
- $404,443 Annual Operating Plan
- $678,070 Liquidity

Outlook
- Ordinary Revenue/Expense
  - $416,813 Operating Expense
  - ($12,370) unfav vs Operating Plan
  - ($48.2k) outlook vs plan in Security/Fee Collection
- $36.6k favorable Revenue
- $94,669 Net Op Income
  - $24.2k favorable to plan

- $485,733 Total Expenses
  - $7.6k favorable

- $25,749 Net Income
  - $44.2k favorable to plan

August Highlights
- $10.8k Security
- $2.1k Legal expenses

Capital Expenditures
- $0 YTD vs $88,000 FY20 plan
- Expected $68.9k spend in Sept

Conservative budgeting
- $90k Payroll, Taxes & Benefits
- $90k O&M Reserve Contributions

Increased security
- $225k Maintenance & Operations
- $267k Net Op Income

Legal spend
- $90k Administrative Expenses
- $71k O&M Reserve Contributions

Capital Expenses
- $89k $69k

Payroll, Taxes & Benefits
Maintenance & Operations
Administrative Expenses
O&M Reserve Contributions
Capital Expenses

2020 Plan
2020 Outlook
2019 Actuals
2018 Actuals

$493k
$486k
$548k
$482k

-8k
+$42k
$-11k
$-18k
$0k
$0k

2020 Plan
2020 Outlook
Remainder of FY20

• September spend items
  • Landscaping
    • $500 – flower refresh
    • $250 – granite refresh
    • $4.5k – tree trimming
    • $1.8k – tree replacement
    • $10k – playground mulch
  • Building Maintenance
    • $2.4k - Structural Repairs - gazebo
    • $150 – HVAC Servicing
• LD Building/Doors
  • $20k